

REMARKS

The 13 January 2004 official action addressed claims 71-140. Applicant notes that the previous reply added new claims 152 and 153, which were not acknowledged in the official action. These claims should be entered and are treated here as being entered.

Claims 71-140 were rejected as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter, specifically, subject matter that is "directed merely to human making mental computations and manually plotting results on paper." Claims 71, 75, 104 and 124 have been amended to clarify that all of claims 71-140 are drawn to a computer implemented method. The preamble of claim 140 now specifies that the method is a computer implemented method. The claims have been further amended to clarify that the method includes computer implemented actions such as receiving user input data and transmitting reports to users. It is also noted that the claims previously recited the creation of reports that include hyperlinks, and actions taken in response to user selection of hyperlinks. These are actions that must be implemented on a computer. Therefore claims 70-140 are believed to fully comply with Section 101.

It is also noted that claim 152 is amended editorially to simply rearrange elements already recited in the claim. A similar amendment is made to claim 70.

No new matter is added.

No prior art rejection has been asserted and therefore the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. The examiner is invited to contact the undersigned to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: *27 January 2004*

By *Ronald Coslick*

FOLEY & LARDNER
Customer Number 23392

Ronald Coslick
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,489